

Compost Quality: A Framework for Understanding Stability, Chemical and Ecological Maturity

A White Paper

Domingo A. Medina

Peels & Wheels Composting

2026

Abstract

Compost quality assessment in current regulatory and commercial practice addresses two distinct dimensions: stability — the cessation of active decomposition — and chemical maturity — the degradation of phytotoxic compounds and transformation of substrate carbon and nitrogen to stable ratios. A third dimension, ecological maturity, is scientifically well-defined and measurable through established quantitative parameters including microbial biomass carbon (MBC), fungal:bacterial (F:B) ratio, specific respiration (qCO_2), nematode community guild composition, protozoan succession state, and phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) community profiling. Despite this, ecological maturity is absent from all current routine compost quality frameworks. The gap is not definitional — the parameters exist and are validated — but practical: the methods required to characterize community succession state remain inaccessible for routine assessment due to cost, laboratory requirements, and specialist skill demands.

A further gap exists at the production level. Ecological maturity is not solely an emergent outcome of process quality. Even chemically stable, well-produced compost is hypothesized to require deliberate community introduction during the curing stage to achieve meaningful trophic depth in most managed composting contexts — spontaneous colonization by late-successional organisms cannot be assumed. This production hypothesis, grounded in the ecological reset dynamics of the composting process, is not recognized in any current composting standard.

This paper establishes a three-dimensional quality framework — stability, chemical maturity, and ecological maturity — and maps the existing metric landscape against it. It documents the structural gap in current assessment frameworks, examines the production conditions under which ecological maturity develops, and presents the case for a multi-metric convergence approach combining chemical, biochemical, and community succession assessment as the basis for complete compost quality characterization. The framework serves as the conceptual foundation for a study examining ecological maturity across a set of commercially produced finished composts using C:N ratio, qCO_2 , and qualitative multi-component microscopy-based community succession assessment as complementary diagnostic tools.

1. Introduction

1.1 The Agronomic Promise of Finished Compost

Finished compost serves three agronomically distinct functions that are frequently conflated in both production practice and quality assessment. The first is nutrient supply — the release of nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur, and micronutrients through continued mineralization of stabilized organic matter following soil incorporation. The second is physical soil improvement — the contribution of stable organic carbon fractions to aggregate formation, water retention, and cation exchange capacity. The third is biological community transfer — the introduction of a living microbial community into the receiving soil, with the capacity to accelerate food web succession, establish fungal decomposition channels, and activate predator-prey dynamics that drive nutrient cycling efficiency.

These three functions do not emerge equally from all finished composts, nor do they require the same production conditions to achieve. Current quality frameworks assess the first two with reasonable adequacy. The third is not assessed at all in routine practice.

1.2 The Measurement Problem — and Why It Exists

The dominant metrics used to characterize compost quality — C:N ratio, reduction in organic matter (ROM), respiration rate, germination index, and physical parameters — were developed primarily to answer two questions: has active decomposition ceased, and is the product safe for plant contact? As Fang (in McSweeney & Manela, 2019, p. 377) observes from the practitioner perspective, standard compost testing can be an important window into many properties of compost, but these tests are poor indicators of its qualities as a living, breathing ecosystem. The frameworks built around them, including the Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC) and various state regulatory standards, represent substantial accumulated knowledge about the chemistry and physics of the composting process — but they were built for a different purpose.

Composting entered regulatory and commercial practice primarily as a waste management tool. The biological process was the mechanism by which organic waste materials were rendered safe, stable, and divertible from landfill — not an end product to be characterized in its own right. Quality standards were therefore built to verify adequate waste processing: sufficient thermal kill for pathogen reduction, sufficient decomposition for stability, sufficient chemical transformation for product safety. Within this framework, the biological community is instrumental — it performs the processing — but its composition at the end of the process is irrelevant to the framework's purpose.

This changes entirely when the intended use shifts. Compost applied to agricultural soil as a biological inoculum is an intentionally manufactured biological material whose agronomic value depends critically on what community it carries. What were not gaps within a waste management framework become structural deficiencies when compost is produced and applied with the specific goal of biological community transfer. The quantitative parameters that characterize the biological community dimension — MBC, F:B ratio, nematode community guild composition, protozoan succession state, PLFA-derived community profiles — are established in the soil ecological literature but are not part of any current compost quality standard.

1.3 The Production Problem

Compounding the measurement gap is a production gap: ecological maturity is hypothesized to require deliberate intervention during the curing stage in most managed composting contexts and cannot be assumed as an automatic outcome of even well-managed composting. This hypothesis, grounded in the ecological reset dynamics of the composting process, is developed fully in Section 4.6.

1.4 Purpose of This Paper

This paper addresses both gaps. Its purpose is to establish a coherent three-dimension quality framework — stability, chemical maturity, and ecological maturity — and to map the existing metric landscape systematically against it. In doing so it aims to: document the scientific basis for ecological maturity as a defined and measurable quality dimension; examine why quantitative ecological maturity parameters have not been integrated into routine assessment practice; discuss the production conditions and deliberate interventions through which ecological maturity develops; make the case for a qualitative multi-component community succession assessment as an accessible complement to quantitative methods; and present the multi-metric convergence approach as the practical basis for complete compost quality characterization.

The framework developed here serves as the conceptual foundation for a proposed study examining ecological maturity across a set of commercially produced finished composts, using C:N ratio, qCO_2 , and qualitative multi-component microscopy-based community succession assessment as complementary diagnostic tools.

1.5 Scope and Intended Audience

This paper is addressed to two overlapping audiences. The first is the research and extension community working at the intersection of composting science, soil ecology, and agricultural systems. The second is the composting industry and the community of practitioners focused on biological diversity in finished compost — producers, certifiers, agronomists, and consultants for whom the practical production and assessment implications of the framework are the primary interest.

Where technical concepts require background that may not be shared across both audiences, they are explained in the text. Readers approaching the ecological maturity dimension from a composting rather than soil science background are directed to the foundational references cited in Sections 4.1–4.3, particularly Ingham (1999) and Ferris et al. (2001), for accessible entry points into soil food web succession ecology.

2. Three Distinct Dimensions of Compost Quality

Compost quality is not a single property but a composite of three distinct dimensions that reflect different aspects of what finished compost is and what it can do. These dimensions develop sequentially during the composting process and each depends to some degree on the preceding one — but they are not equivalent, and not interchangeable as quality criteria. Current frameworks treat the first two as the complete picture. This paper argues that the third is equally significant when the intended use is biological community transfer to agricultural soil.

2.1 Stability

Stability refers to the cessation of active decomposition. A stable compost will not re-heat on rewetting, will not generate significant biological oxygen demand when incorporated into soil, will not create anaerobic conditions in the root zone, and will not immobilize soil nitrogen through continued microbial substrate competition. It is a process completion criterion — defined in terms of what the compost will not do.

The biological basis of stability is the depletion of readily decomposable substrate fractions. As labile carbon compounds are consumed, microbial metabolic activity declines and remaining organic matter shifts toward recalcitrant fractions — lignocellulosic complexes, humic substances, and stable organo-mineral associations — that decompose slowly under ambient conditions. Stability is achieved when the labile fraction has been sufficiently depleted that the community's metabolic activity has fallen below the threshold at which it generates heat, consumes oxygen at rates problematic for soil biology, or competes effectively with plants for available nitrogen.

Stability is the minimum threshold for any agricultural use of compost. All current quality frameworks address it, and the available metrics — respiration rate, CO₂ evolution, the Solvita CO₂ index, and the AT4 respirometric test — are well-suited to characterizing it. Stability creates the conditions for the subsequent dimensions to develop; it does not guarantee them.

2.2 Chemical Maturity

Chemical maturity refers to the degradation of phytotoxic compounds that accumulate during active decomposition and persist into the curing stage if decomposition is incomplete or poorly managed. The principal phytotoxic fractions are volatile organic acids, free ammonia, phenolic compounds, and incompletely transformed plant secondary metabolites. At elevated concentrations these suppress seed germination, inhibit root elongation, and interfere with soil microbial activity in the receiving soil.

Chemical maturity is a product safety criterion — defined in terms of what the compost will not harm. The two dimensions typically develop together under good management but can decouple under poor aeration, inappropriate feedstock ratios, or premature curing termination. Chemical maturity is the dimension most thoroughly addressed by current quality frameworks — C:N ratio, the germination index, Solvita NH₃ measurement, and the combined Solvita Compost Maturity Index all address it directly or as a proxy.

Chemical maturity is necessary but not sufficient for the biological inoculation function. A chemically mature compost creates conditions safe for soil incorporation and plant contact but says nothing about the biological community it carries or whether that community is capable of contributing to soil food web succession in the receiving system. That question belongs to the third dimension.

2.3 Ecological Maturity

Ecological maturity refers to the development of sufficient trophic succession complexity in the compost microbial community to perform beneficial biological functions when transferred to soil. It is a community quality criterion — defined in terms of what the compost can actively contribute to the soil food web of the receiving system. The distinction between compost as a nutrient source and compost as a biological inoculum is developed fully in Section 4.1; only ecologically mature compost performs both functions simultaneously.

The biological basis of ecological maturity is the r/K succession axis of soil food web ecology. All biological communities exist on a continuum from early-successional states dominated by r-selected organisms — rapid reproducers adapted to resource pulses and disturbance — to late-successional states dominated by K-selected organisms — slow reproducers with larger body size, greater stress sensitivity, and dependence on structurally complex food webs. In soil food web terms, the early-successional state is characterized by bacterial dominance, bacterivore nematodes, flagellate protozoa, and low F:B ratios. The late-successional state is characterized by fungal decomposition channel establishment, fungivore nematodes, naked and testate amoebae, ciliates, and where conditions permit, predatory microarthropods and omnivore and carnivore nematodes. The full succession sequence and its agronomic implications are developed in Section 4.2.

Ecological maturity has established quantitative parameters in the scientific literature. MBC quantifies the size of the active community. The F:B ratio characterizes the dominant decomposition pathway and succession state. Specific respiration ($q\text{CO}_2$ — CO_2 evolution per unit MBC) provides a metabolic efficiency signal that distinguishes active enrichment-phase decomposition from the metabolically efficient, resource-limited community state characteristic of ecological maturity (Anderson & Domsch, 1990). Nematode community guild composition, analyzed through the Ferris, Bongers, and de Goede (2001) framework, provides the most rigorous published characterization of food web succession state through derived indices — the Enrichment Index (EI), Structure Index (SI), and Channel Index (CI). Protozoan succession state and PLFA community profiling provide further independent signals. These parameters collectively define ecological maturity as a scientifically grounded, measurable quality dimension whose integration into routine assessment practice is absent.

A critical production distinction.

Ecological maturity is not solely an emergent outcome of process quality. The thermal and active decomposition phases of composting select strongly for early-successional organisms while eliminating the K-selected organisms that characterize ecological maturity — conditions necessary for pathogen reduction and chemical stabilization but ecologically resetting. Temperatures regularly exceeding 131°F during active thermal cycling eliminate all but the most thermotolerant organisms (Haug, 1993). The curing stage represents the window during which ecological maturity can develop, but in most production contexts the late-successional organisms required are not present in the pile and are not expected to arrive spontaneously at meaningful abundance. Based on the ecological reset dynamics of the composting process, deliberate introduction of late-successional community members during curing is hypothesized to be necessary in most managed composting contexts to achieve meaningful trophic depth — typically through application of compost extracts derived from ecologically mature source material. This hypothesis has not yet been confirmed through systematic survey of production outcomes and is a central question of the research agenda described in Section 8.5. It is not recognized as a production requirement in any current composting standard.

2.4 Why Conflating These Three Dimensions Causes Problems in Practice

The sequential relationship between the three dimensions can create the misleading impression that a compost meeting chemical maturity criteria has necessarily progressed through all three stages. Two failure modes follow from this conflation that current frameworks do not address.

The first is the invisible biological shortfall — compost that has achieved both stability and chemical maturity but lacks ecological maturity is applied with the expectation of biological community transfer. No phytotoxic effects are observed; chemical and physical properties meet all quality standards; but the biological inoculation function is not delivered because the community carried by the compost is ecologically shallow. This failure mode is invisible to current frameworks.

The second is the false production assumption — a producer operating at best practice with respect to all currently measured quality parameters assumes that ecological maturity is an automatic outcome of a well-run process. The assumption is not tested because the tools to test it are not part of routine quality assessment. The production gap and the measurement gap reinforce each other: producers do not inoculate during curing because they do not know ecological maturity is absent; assessors do not flag the absence because there is no metric in the framework to detect it.

3. The Current Metric Landscape: What Is Being Measured and What Is Not

The metrics currently used to assess compost quality span physical, chemical, biochemical, and biological measurement approaches. Taken together they provide a reasonably complete picture of stability and chemical maturity. They provide no routine picture of ecological maturity. Stehouwer et al. (2022) characterize compost quality along three dimensions — product performance (nutrients, organic matter), product aesthetics (odor, particle size, contaminants), and product safety (pathogens, heavy metals) — an industry-standard framing that is comprehensive within its scope but makes no provision for biological community character as a quality dimension. This section maps each metric category against the three-dimension framework developed in this paper and identifies where the structural gaps lie. A summary table is provided at the end of the section.

3.1 Physical Parameters

Physical characterization of finished compost typically includes moisture content, bulk density, particle size distribution, color, and odor. Moisture content is directly relevant to stability. A compost below approximately 25% moisture by weight is biologically inactive, while above 60% risks anaerobic conditions. Color and odor provide coarse-grained proxies for decomposition state. Physical parameters address stability partially and indirectly, provide no information about chemical maturity beyond screening level, and provide no information about ecological maturity under any circumstances. They remain appropriate process and handling tools but are not quality characterization in any deeper sense.

3.2 C:N Ratio

The ratio of carbon to nitrogen is the most widely used single chemical indicator of compost maturity. As decomposition progresses, carbon is lost as CO₂ while nitrogen is concentrated in microbial biomass and stabilized organic matter, driving the C:N ratio downward. A finished C:N of approximately 15–20 is widely cited as indicative of adequate maturity.

Its limitations are substantial and have been thoroughly examined by Brinton (2010). Nitrogen losses during composting — through ammonia volatilization, leaching, or denitrification — decouple the C:N trajectory from actual decomposition state. High C:N starting materials such as wood-chip-dominated mixes may require far greater organic matter reduction than the standard endpoint criterion implies — Brinton's analysis demonstrates that a leaf compost starting at C:N 95 would require approximately 85% reduction in organic matter to reach a stable endpoint C:N (Brinton, 2010), well beyond regulatory thresholds. For starting materials with C:N below 20, a mature endpoint C:N may be reached early in the process, rendering the endpoint criterion uninformative. The C:N ratio addresses chemical maturity as a proxy only and is most reliable when used in combination with at least one additional metric from a different measurement tier.

3.3 Reduction in Organic Matter

Reduction in Organic Matter (ROM) quantifies the proportion of original organic matter mineralized and lost as CO₂ during composting. It is a mass balance metric requiring the van Kleeck-type correction that accounts for the concentration effect of mass loss — the uncorrected comparison of starting and ending organic matter percentages systematically underestimates true reduction. Brinton (2010) demonstrates that the 60% ROM threshold used in Florida and Texas regulations is appropriate only for starting C:N ratios of 30–40, making it a minimum degradation criterion rather than a universal maturity protocol.

The more fundamental limitation for finished-product assessment is starting-condition dependency. ROM cannot be calculated without reliable organic matter data from the start of the composting process — data that are frequently unavailable for commercially produced finished composts. This makes ROM non-calculable for a significant proportion of assessment contexts, including the study this paper accompanies. ROM addresses stability and the chemical maturity transition; it does not address ecological maturity.

3.4 Germination Index

The germination index — based on the Zucconi et al. (1981) method — assesses phytotoxicity directly through seed germination rate and root elongation measured in compost extract relative to a water control. A germination index above 80% is widely used as the threshold for safe agricultural applications. It is the most direct available measure of chemical maturity because it reflects the integrated phytotoxic effect of all compounds present — organic acids, free ammonia, phenolics — rather than measuring any single compound. Its limitations are that it is inherently binary in practical interpretation and provides no information about ecological maturity.

3.5 Respiration-Based Metrics

Respiration measurement — the quantification of CO₂ evolution or O₂ consumption — provides a direct window into biological activity and is the metric category most capable of spanning more than one quality dimension.

CO₂ evolution rate and O₂ consumption. Bulk respiration rate — as in the Solvita CO₂ paddle test or the AT4 respirometric assay — reflects current metabolic activity. High respiration indicates active decomposition; low respiration indicates metabolic quiescence consistent with stability. These metrics address stability directly but do not distinguish between a metabolically quiescent community that is ecologically shallow and one that is ecologically complex.

NH₃ measurement. Ammonia volatilization rate reflects the balance between protein decomposition and nitrogen immobilization. The Solvita NH₃ paddle test addresses chemical maturity directly and is particularly sensitive to the ammonia fraction that is most directly phytotoxic.

Solvita Compost Maturity Index. The combined CO₂ and NH₃ assessment produces a Compost Maturity Index (CMI) that integrates both stability and chemical maturity signals into a single composite score. It is the most widely deployed biochemical composite tool for compost quality assessment. Its limitation is that neither the CO₂ nor NH₃ signal captures the biological community dimension.

Specific respiration — qCO₂. The specific respiration rate — CO₂ evolution per unit MBC — is a metabolic efficiency metric originally developed as the metabolic quotient for characterizing microbial community stress and succession state in soil (Anderson & Domsch, 1990). A community with high qCO₂ is metabolically stressed and inefficient, consistent with an enrichment-phase community operating under high-resource conditions. A community with low qCO₂ is metabolically efficient, consistent with a resource-limited, late-successional community with high carbon use efficiency. The qCO₂ signal provides a partial window into ecological maturity — it distinguishes metabolic state in a way that aligns with the r/K succession axis — but remains an activity metric rather than a community identity metric. A community of early-successional bacteria operating in a resource-depleted environment may show low qCO₂ without any of the trophic complexity that ecological maturity requires. The qCO₂ signal must therefore be interpreted alongside community succession assessment rather than used as a standalone

ecological maturity indicator. Its calculation requires both a CO₂ measurement and an MBC measurement from the same sample.

3.6 Microbial Biomass

Microbial biomass carbon (MBC) quantifies the carbon contained in the living microbial community. Standard laboratory methods include chloroform fumigation-extraction (CFE) and substrate-induced respiration (SIR). Field-accessible extraction-based methods provide MBC and F:B ratio estimates without full laboratory infrastructure. MBC is a measure of community size, not community identity or succession state. A compost with high MBC may be dominated entirely by early-successional organisms, ecologically shallow despite its biological abundance.

The F:B ratio adds a partial succession signal to the biomass picture. As the compost ecosystem matures, fungal abundance generally increases relative to bacteria (Fang, in McSweeney & Manela, 2019). Higher F:B ratios are associated with more advanced succession states — fungal-dominated decomposition channels are characteristic of late-successional food webs. For direct microscopy-based or extraction-based F:B measurement, mature compost generally falls in the range of 1.0 to 2.0; for the nematode-derived F:B ratio — calculated from the ratio of fungal-feeding to bacterial-feeding nematodes — mature compost generally falls in the range of 3.5 to 6.0 (Fang, in McSweeney & Manela, 2019). F:B ratio therefore partially addresses ecological maturity as a decomposition channel proxy but cannot characterize the full trophic succession complexity dimension — it captures channel character without addressing protozoan succession state, nematode guild composition, or higher trophic levels.

3.7 Quantitative Ecological Maturity Parameters: Defined but Routinely Absent

The methods described in this section were developed and validated for research settings. They are presented here to establish what is scientifically possible — not as tools producers are expected to implement — and to demonstrate that ecological maturity is a measurable dimension with rigorous quantitative backing.

Phospholipid fatty acid profiling. PLFA analysis identifies community composition through the membrane lipid profiles of microbial groups, providing the most detailed biochemical community composition picture available without organism-level microscopy, including comprehensive F:B ratio estimates. Its limitations for routine assessment are cost and the absence of trophic level information — PLFA characterizes who is present at the microbial level but cannot directly assess predator-prey dynamics, nematode guild composition, or protozoan succession state.

Nematode faunal analysis. The framework developed by Ferris, Bongers, and de Goede (2001) provides the most rigorously theoretically grounded published instrument for characterizing soil food web succession state through nematode community guild composition. Nematode communities are assessed at genus or family level, assigned to functional guilds on the colonizer-persister (cp) scale from cp-1 to cp-5, and analyzed through the EI, SI, and CI to characterize the food web's trophic state and position on the succession continuum. Hoitink and Boehm (1999) demonstrated in an influential review that the decomposition level of organic matter critically affects bacterial community composition and the populations and activities of biocontrol agents — establishing that compost biological community character, not chemical properties alone, determines disease suppression outcomes. Neher et al. (2017) extended this in the compost context, demonstrating that nematode community indices and ecoenzyme activity outperformed standard stability metrics — including Solvita respiration and C:N — as predictors of disease suppression against *Rhizoctonia solani*. Together these represent the clearest peer-reviewed

demonstrations that ecological community metrics provide agronomically relevant information that chemical metrics cannot.

In the compost context, Steel et al. (2010, 2018) established a critical constraint: cp-4 and cp-5 K-strategist nematodes do not colonize compost ecosystems regardless of maturity stage. The nematode succession sequence within compost — from cp-1 bacterivores through cp-2 bacterivores to the first establishment of cp-3 fungivores — is a genuine biological maturity signal, but the full Ferris et al. (2001) index framework was developed for soil systems and cannot be applied directly to compost without adjustment for this ceiling. The absence of cp-4/cp-5 organisms in compost is an ecological constraint of the composting environment, not a deficiency in the compost.

Nematode faunal analysis requires Baermann funnel extraction and at minimum family-level taxonomic identification. This places it outside routine compost quality assessment for most producers and certifiers, though it remains the most powerful available tool for research applications.

The access gap. These parameters exist, are scientifically validated, and directly characterize ecological maturity. The barrier to their routine use is practical: PLFA requires specialist laboratory infrastructure incompatible with routine assessment economics; nematode faunal analysis requires Baermann extraction, microscopy, and taxonomic competence developed through extended training. A well-defined, scientifically grounded quality dimension remains systematically uncharacterized in routine compost assessment not because it cannot be measured, but because the methods for measuring it accurately are inaccessible at the scale and cost of routine practice. This is the gap that motivates the qualitative multi-component community succession approach discussed in Section 5.

3.8 Scientific and Applied Frameworks for Biological Assessment

Two frameworks developed by scientists working at the intersection of research and practice have identified ecological maturity as an assessable and significant compost quality dimension ahead of formal regulatory recognition.

The biologically complete compost methodology was developed by Elaine Ingham, a soil ecologist whose foundational research on multi-trophic interactions in soil food webs is documented in Ingham et al. (1986a, 1986b) and synthesized in the *Soil Biology Primer* (Ingham, 1999). Her methodology employs direct multi-trophic microscopy observation of bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, and microarthropods from prepared compost suspensions, characterizing each functional group and interpreting the assemblage in terms of food web succession state and inoculation potential. Its contribution to the field is substantial: it identified ecological maturity as a meaningful quality dimension — one grounded in soil ecosystem function rather than chemical characterization — when no regulatory framework recognized it. It established that community succession state is microscopically observable without laboratory infrastructure beyond a compound microscope and introduced the concept of compost as a biological inoculum into composting practice. Its limitation as a quality assessment tool is one of formalization rather than concept — the methodology has been transmitted primarily through training programs and consultancy rather than through published, peer-reviewed documentation of explicit scoring criteria, ecological rationale, cross-validation logic, and characterized performance. It is scientific knowledge of demonstrated value that has not yet been formalized into a published, standardized instrument.

A complementary contribution comes from the research program of Deborah Neher, a soil ecologist working in the nematode ecology and biological indicators tradition. Neher et al. (2017)

drawing on Fang's MS thesis research conducted under Neher's supervision — demonstrated that nematode community indices and ecoenzyme activity outperformed standard stability metrics as predictors of compost-mediated disease suppression in the compost context. Fang subsequently addresses biological indicators from the composting industry perspective (Fang, in McSweeney & Manela, 2019), identifying F:B ratio and CO₂ respiration as the most commercially available biological indicators, noting that nematode community composition provides more integrated ecosystem information, and connecting improved biological indicators explicitly to the biological inoculum function and plant nutrient availability. Together, Ingham's foundational framework and the Neher group's quantitative research contributions establish that the ecological maturity dimension is both scientifically grounded and practically significant — and that scientific awareness of its importance precedes the standardized assessment tools needed to characterize it routinely.

3.9 Summary: Metric Coverage Across Three Quality Dimensions

The following table maps each metric category against the three quality dimensions. Coverage is rated as: Direct (the metric was designed to characterize this dimension and does so reliably); Partial (relevant information provided but with significant limitations); Proxy (correlates with this dimension but measures something else); and None (no information about this dimension).

Metric	Stability	Chemical Maturity	Ecological Maturity
Physical parameters	Partial	None	None
C:N ratio	None	Proxy	None
ROM	Direct	Proxy	None
Germination index	None	Direct	None
CO ₂ / O ₂ respiration rate	Direct	None	None
NH ₃ volatilization (Solvita)	None	Direct	None
Solvita CMI (CO ₂ + NH ₃)	Direct	Direct	None
qCO ₂ (specific respiration)	Partial	None	Partial
MBC	Partial	None	None
F:B ratio	None	None	Partial
PLFA profiling	None	None	Partial
Nematode faunal analysis	None	None	Direct
Ingham microscopy methodology	None	None	Direct (practitioner, non-standardized)

The table makes the structural gap visible. Stability and chemical maturity are covered by multiple metrics at different levels of resolution. Ecological maturity has two direct methods — nematode faunal analysis and the Ingham microscopy methodology — both inaccessible to routine assessment, and two partial methods — qCO₂ and F:B ratio — that provide indirect signals without community identity characterization. No ecological maturity metric appears in any current regulatory or commercial quality standard. Section 4 develops the agronomic case for why that gap matters. Section 5 develops the case for a qualitative multi-component community succession instrument as the accessible path to filling it.

4. Ecological Maturity: Why It Matters Agronomically and Why It Cannot Be Assumed

4.1 Compost as a Biological Inoculum

The agronomic literature on compost has long recognized two primary mechanisms of benefit: nutrient supply through organic matter mineralization, and physical soil improvement through stable carbon contributions to aggregate formation and water retention. A third mechanism — biological community transfer — is less consistently recognized and almost entirely absent from quality assessment practice, despite being the most ecologically significant of the three for soils in which biological activity is the primary limiting factor on nutrient cycling efficiency.

Biological community transfer refers to the introduction of living organisms into the receiving soil through compost incorporation. Every application of finished compost delivers not only organic matter and nutrients but a community of bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, and where present, microarthropods — organisms whose ecological relationships with one another and with the soil mineral matrix determine the rate, efficiency, and resilience of nutrient cycling in the receiving system. The agronomic question is not merely how much biological material is delivered but what kind of community is carried, and whether that community is capable of contributing to food web succession in the receiving soil.

This distinction between compost as a nutrient source and compost as a biological inoculum is not semantic. A nutrient source is characterized by what it contains. A biological inoculum is characterized by what it can do — introduce organisms, establish trophic relationships, and accelerate succession toward ecologically complex states. A compost can be an excellent nutrient source while functioning poorly as a biological inoculum, delivering a large and active microbial community that is nonetheless ecologically shallow. Only ecologically mature compost performs both functions simultaneously, making ecological maturity not an optional quality enhancement but the property that determines whether the biological community transfer function is fulfilled at all.

4.2 The Succession Ecology of the Soil Food Web

Soil food webs exist on a continuum from early-successional states, dominated by enrichment-adapted r-selected organisms, to late-successional states, dominated by structurally dependent K-selected organisms. The position of a food web on this continuum determines how nutrients cycle, how efficiently carbon is retained, and how resilient the system is to disturbance.

At the early-successional end, bacterial decomposition dominates. The community is characterized by cp-1 and cp-2 bacterivore nematodes — small, rapidly reproducing organisms adapted to resource pulses — flagellate protozoa, and fast-cycling bacterial populations with high turnover rates and low carbon use efficiency. This community processes labile substrate rapidly, releasing nitrogen in plant-available forms but also losing it readily through volatilization and leaching.

At the late-successional end, fungal decomposition channels are established alongside bacterial channels. The community includes cp-3 fungivore nematodes, naked and testate amoebae, ciliates, and where conditions permit, cp-4 and cp-5 omnivore and carnivore nematodes and predatory microarthropods. These organisms are metabolically slower, longer-lived, and ecologically dependent on the structured food web below them. Nutrient cycling in this community is slower, more efficient, and more tightly coupled to plant demand — nitrogen released through

predator-prey interactions is mineralized in closer proximity to root surfaces and at rates more closely matched to plant uptake capacity (Clarholm, 1985).

The key ecological principle for compost assessment is that this succession complexity takes time, stability, and the presence of the right organisms to develop. It cannot be generated rapidly from an early-successional starting point through substrate manipulation alone.

4.3 What Ecologically Immature Compost Does and Does Not Do When Applied to Soil

Ecologically immature compost — dominated by early-successional bacteria and cp-1/cp-2 bacterivore nematodes — introduces an enrichment pulse into the receiving soil. This stimulates rapid bacterial growth and may transiently increase plant-available nitrogen through enhanced mineralization. These effects are real and can produce measurable short-term yield responses, particularly in severely nutrient-limited soils. They do not, however, represent biological inoculation — they represent a resource pulse response, not a succession advance.

In soils with an already-functioning biological community, the enrichment loading may temporarily suppress rather than enhance structural food web development. Rapid bacterial growth favors early-successional competitors, consistent with the association between enrichment conditions and reduced food web structural complexity observed across disturbed agroecosystems (Wardle et al., 1995). If the enrichment loading exceeds the existing community's capacity to buffer the pulse, the net effect may be a temporary enrichment reset rather than a succession advance. The specific magnitude of this effect in compost application contexts has not been directly measured and represents an area where further research is needed.

In severely degraded soils, ecologically immature compost provides an early-successional community to an early-successional system. The nutrient supply and organic matter functions are fulfilled, but the biological inoculation function — introduction of organisms capable of driving succession toward structural complexity — is not. The soil food web remains in an early-successional state, dependent on continued external inputs rather than developing self-sustaining trophic complexity.

Ecologically mature compost applied to the same degraded soil is hypothesized to introduce a qualitatively different biological contribution. Late-successional organisms arriving in a substrate-rich environment where prey communities are abundant and competition from established K-selected competitors is absent are expected to find conditions favorable for establishment. The fungal decomposition channel is anticipated to begin developing alongside the bacterial channel, and predator-prey interactions are expected to activate, driving the microbial loop and releasing nutrients in plant-available forms through biological rather than purely chemical processes. These dynamics are ecologically reasoned predictions from succession theory rather than outcomes directly demonstrated in the compost application context.

4.4 Connection to Nutrient Cycling Efficiency

In early-successional, bacterial-dominated food webs, nutrient cycling is fast but loosely coupled to plant uptake. Nitrogen is mineralized rapidly during enrichment phases, but release rates are driven by substrate availability rather than plant demand. Pulses of plant-available nitrogen may not align with peak crop uptake periods. The system is productive under continuous input management but poorly buffered against timing mismatches between nutrient release and plant demand.

In late-successional, structurally complex food webs, nutrient cycling is slower but more precisely regulated. Predator-prey dynamics — protozoa and nematodes consuming bacteria and fungi, releasing nitrogen as metabolic byproducts in the immediate vicinity of root surfaces — create a continuous, low-amplitude nutrient release pattern more closely matched to plant uptake rates (Clarholm, 1985). Carbon use efficiency is higher, meaning more carbon is retained in stable organic matter and microbial biomass rather than being respired as CO₂.

This distinction between pulse-driven enrichment cycling and regulation-driven succession cycling is the biological mechanism underlying the concept of Biological Transfer Capacity — the community's ability to convert stored nutrients into plant-available forms at rates matching crop demand, as developed in a companion framework examining the diagnostic and management implications of this capacity across soil systems (Medina, 2026). A community's capacity in this regard is a function of its trophic structure, not its total biomass. Ecologically mature compost is expected to advance the receiving soil's capacity in ways that ecologically immature compost cannot, regardless of the chemical properties of either material — though this expectation awaits direct experimental confirmation in the compost application context.

It is important to note that the slow-release characterization of compost nitrogen — well-established in the agronomic literature and reflecting the chemical stability of organic nitrogen fractions requiring biological mineralization before plant uptake — operates at a different level of analysis than the pulse-driven enrichment cycling described here. A chemically stable, slow-releasing compost can still carry an early-successional community that cycles what nutrients it does release in a loosely regulated, enrichment-driven pattern. Slow-release substrate chemistry reduces the magnitude of the enrichment loading relative to synthetic fertilizers but does not by itself produce the regulation-driven nutrient cycling characteristic of late-successional food webs. Ecological maturity therefore remains agronomically significant even in chemically stable, slow-releasing compost — the two dimensions address different aspects of compost nutrient dynamics and are not in conflict.

4.5 Agronomic Implications

The following agronomic implications follow from the ecological principles established in Sections 4.1–4.4. They represent hypotheses for producer practice and research design grounded in established succession ecology rather than experimentally validated recommendations specific to compost application. Direct field evidence for these implications in the compost context remains limited and is a priority research need.

Application rate decisions are expected to differ when ecological maturity is taken into account. The inoculation function — as a logical consequence of the community self-amplification dynamics of succession ecology — is likely to be effective at lower biological loading than the nutrient supply function requires. A small quantity of biologically rich material applied to a receptive soil can seed a community that self-amplifies through predator-prey interactions, while organic matter and nutrient delivery scale more directly with loading applied. Rate optimization therefore depends on knowing which function is the primary management objective and whether the compost is capable of performing it.

Timing and target system considerations are also expected to differ. Inoculation is likely to be most effective when the receiving soil is biologically receptive — adequately moist, at an appropriate temperature, and not immediately following a disruptive event such as tillage or fumigation that would eliminate the community members most likely to interact productively with the introduced organisms. This expectation is consistent with the broader inoculant literature, though its specific application to compost inoculation contexts has not been directly tested.

Ecologically mature compost applied to a severely degraded soil is hypothesized to deliver the strongest potential biological benefit, introducing organisms into a system where they are absent and where substrate conditions following application are favorable for establishment. This is consistent with the broader principle, well-established in the compost use literature, that the magnitude of soil health benefits from compost application varies with the soil's characteristics and biological status at the time of application, with effects generally greater in soils at lower baseline (Ozores-Hampton et al., 2022). Matching compost ecological maturity to receiving soil biological state is therefore a management principle consistent with both succession ecology and compost use practice — though one that current quality frameworks provide no tools to support and that awaits direct experimental validation.

4.6 The Production Ceiling: Why Process Quality Alone Is Insufficient for Ecological Maturity

The thermal and active decomposition phases of composting create conditions structurally incompatible with the development of ecological maturity. Temperatures regularly exceeding 131°F during active thermal cycling eliminate all but the most thermotolerant organisms (Haug, 1993). Rapid substrate turnover and extreme enrichment conditions select strongly for early-successional organisms while excluding the K-selected organisms that characterize ecological maturity. These conditions are not process failures — they are the intended consequence of well-managed active composting, necessary for pathogen reduction and chemical stabilization. They are, however, ecologically resetting: the thermal phase functions as a biological reset event, eliminating existing community complexity regardless of the community present in the feedstocks. Ryckeboer et al. (2003) documented this directly, reporting that bacteria dominated throughout the thermophilic phase while fungi, actinomycetes, and yeasts were below detection limits, and that community diversity and character shifted substantially only during the subsequent cooling and maturation phases — with compost maturity correlated with high microbial diversity and low metabolic activity.

The curing stage is the window during which ecological maturity can potentially develop. As substrate conditions stabilize, phytotoxins resolve, and temperature returns to ambient, the compost becomes receptive to the late-successional community members described in Section 4.2. However, those organisms are not present in the pile following the thermal reset and in most production contexts are not expected to arrive spontaneously at meaningful abundance. Enclosed or covered windrow systems, bin composters, and in-vessel systems provide limited exposure to the ambient biological community. Even open windrow systems in agricultural settings are unlikely to receive sufficient passive inoculation of late-successional organisms at the rates needed to establish a functioning trophically complex community within a typical curing period. This expectation is important to state explicitly: direct measurements of passive recolonization rates into composting systems during curing have not been conducted. The production ceiling argument rests on ecological inference from the thermal reset dynamics and the known ecology of K-selected organisms rather than on measured inoculation outcomes. Neher et al. (2013) demonstrated at commercial scale that bacterial and fungal community composition responds significantly to both feedstock recipe and composting method, and concluded that the community succeeding the thermophilic phase warrants further investigation to determine how it can be best managed to generate compost with desired biological properties — an agenda that the present framework is designed to support.

In most production contexts, therefore, deliberate introduction of late-successional community members during the curing stage is hypothesized to be necessary to achieve meaningful trophic depth. Extended curing time alone, in the absence of inoculation, is likely to produce a more chemically stable but not more ecologically complex product. The practical implication is

significant and not currently recognized in any quality standard: process excellence is necessary but not sufficient for ecological maturity. Whether a compost is ecologically mature is hypothesized to depend on the additional deliberate step of inoculation during curing — a central question of the research agenda described in Section 8.5.

4.7 Compost Extract as the Inoculation Vehicle: Implications for Community Transfer

If deliberate inoculation during curing is the mechanism by which ecological maturity is achieved, the choice of inoculant vehicle is not neutral. The preparation method of the inoculant determines what fraction of the source community is preserved, amplified, or selectively eliminated.

Compost extract — produced by passive suspension and percolation of ecologically mature compost in water, without aeration or food amendments — transfers community diversity from source material to extract with minimal selective pressure on any particular functional group (Scheuerell & Mahaffee, 2002). Bacteria, fungi, protozoa of all succession types, and nematodes across the cp-scale range present in the source compost are transferred in proportions broadly reflective of the source community's composition. For inoculation of curing compost with the specific goal of introducing late-successional organisms, compost extract prepared from an ecologically mature source is the appropriate vehicle.

Actively aerated compost tea (AACT) produced by aerating compost in water with food amendments including soluble sugars, kelp meal, fish hydrolysate, or humic acids — operates on a fundamentally different principle. Aeration and food amendment create conditions of high oxygen availability and abundant labile substrate that favor rapid microbial growth. Microbial biomass increases substantially during the brewing period as organisms best adapted to these enriched, high-oxygen conditions proliferate. These are, by definition, r-selected early-successional organisms. The amplification process therefore selects toward the early-successional end of the community spectrum, enriching the tea in precisely the early-successional organisms that dominate the post-thermal curing community and diluting or excluding the late-successional types that are the target of inoculation for ecological maturity purposes (Scheuerell & Mahaffee, 2002).

This is not a critique of AACT as an agricultural tool — its amplification properties make it well-suited to applications where rapid biomass introduction, plant surface inoculation, or bacterial activity stimulation is the management objective. It is, however, a meaningful distinction for the specific purpose of inoculating curing compost with the goal of establishing ecological maturity, for which extract is the appropriate vehicle.

The source material for inoculation — the ecologically mature compost or soil from which the extract is drawn — is equally important as the preparation method. Compost extract prepared from an ecologically shallow extract source will introduce an ecologically shallow community regardless of biological loading or timing. This creates a quality dependency not currently recognized in production frameworks: the extract source material must itself be assessed for ecological maturity before it can be reliably used to produce ecologically mature compost. Producers seeking to implement deliberate curing-stage inoculation should begin by characterizing the ecological maturity of candidate extract sources before relying on them as the inoculant base.

5. Qualitative Multi-Component Community Succession Assessment: Rationale and Requirements

5.1 The Access Problem

As established in Section 3.7, the quantitative parameters that directly characterize ecological maturity are scientifically validated but practically inaccessible for routine compost assessment. Closing this gap requires an approach designed from the outset for accessibility — one that captures the ecological succession information encoded in the compost community using methods available to practitioners with compound microscope access and appropriate training, rather than methods requiring specialist laboratory infrastructure. A qualitative multi-component community succession instrument, built on the microscopy observation tradition and grounded in published succession ecology theory, occupies precisely this position.

5.2 The Microscopy Observation Tradition

Direct microscopic observation of soil and compost biological communities has a long history in both research and practice. The foundational work of Ingham et al. (1986a, 1986b) established the multi-trophic observation framework — characterizing bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, and microarthropods as functional groups from prepared soil suspensions — and demonstrated that community succession state is microscopically observable without species-level taxonomy.

The key insight is that functional group identity — not species identity — carries the ecologically meaningful succession information. The distinction between a nematode community dominated by small, rapidly undulating cp-1 bacterivores and one that includes large, slow-moving cp-4 omnivores is visible under a compound microscope without genus identification. The distinction between a protozoan community of flagellates only and one that includes testate amoebae and ciliates is visible at 100–400x magnification. The distinction between active cytoplasm-containing fungal hyphae forming particle-binding networks and empty collapsed remnants is visible at 400x. These observations place a community on the succession continuum with sufficient ecological resolution to distinguish early-successional from late-successional states without the taxonomic precision that quantitative research methods require.

The microscopy observation tradition demonstrates both that community succession assessment is accessible in principle and that the ecological information it yields is real and interpretable. What it has not yet produced, in published form, is a standardized instrument — a documented, reproducible assessment protocol with explicit scoring criteria, ecological rationale, derived indices, cross-validation logic, and characterized limitations.

5.3 What a Rigorous Qualitative Instrument Requires

The distance between an expert's microscopic observation and a standardized quality assessment instrument is not trivial. Expert observation depends on knowledge held by the observer rather than encoded in the instrument. For a qualitative approach to function as a quality standard applicable across producers, certifiers, laboratories, and extension contexts, it must transfer the ecological knowledge from the expert to the instrument itself. This requires several elements that current practitioner microscopy approaches do not provide in published form.

Explicit scored criteria referenced to observable evidence. Each component of the assessment — fungal hyphae, nematodes, protozoa, organic matter, aggregates, microarthropods, fungal spores — must have scoring criteria specifying what observable evidence at the microscope corresponds to each score level, written for direct use at the microscope. The

distinction between a Low and Medium score for protozoa, for example, must be defined in terms of organism type — flagellates only versus flagellates plus naked amoebae — not in terms of ecological interpretation, which follows from the score rather than determining it.

Ecological rationale for each criterion. The scoring criteria must be grounded in published succession ecology theory so that each score level has a defensible ecological interpretation traceable to the literature. The Ferris et al. (2001) framework, the r/K succession literature, protozoan succession ecology (Clarholm, 1985; Foissner, 1999), aggregate hierarchy theory (Tisdall and Oades, 1982; Six et al., 2004), and the AMF succession sequence (Oehl et al., 2003) collectively provide the theoretical foundation from which scoring criteria can be derived with explicit ecological justification.

Multiple components integrated into derived indices. A multi-component instrument integrating observations across bacteria, fungi, protozoa, nematodes, aggregates, and microarthropods can derive indices — analogous to the Ferris et al. (2001) EI, SI, and CI — that are more robust to individual component variability than any single observation, and that provide the interpretive framework within which individual anomalies can be identified and flagged.

Cross-validation logic between components. Internal consistency checks identify unlikely combinations of component scores and flag them for interpretive caution. High fungal hyphae scores alongside low aggregate scores represent an ecologically improbable combination — the particle-binding function of fungal networks is the mechanism that drives macroaggregate formation, and persistent disagreement between these two components suggests an observation error or transitional state requiring qualification. Similarly, high spore scores alongside absent hyphae indicate stress-sporulation rather than fungal maturity.

Documented limitations, failure modes, and contextual adjustments. A rigorous qualitative instrument specifies conditions under which it performs poorly — that protozoan scores are unreliable more than thirty minutes after sampling, that microarthropod scores expected to be zero in winter should not penalize composite score interpretation, that organic matter color criteria require adjustment in iron-rich soils.

Reproducibility across observers. Reproducibility requires that scoring criteria be sufficiently explicit that a trained observer without specialist taxonomy background can reach the same score as an expert on the same preparation in the large majority of cases. This requires calibration materials — reference preparations or documented reference images — that allow new observers to calibrate their scoring before operational deployment.

5.4 What Such an Instrument Can and Cannot Replace

A well-designed qualitative multi-component community succession instrument is not a replacement for quantitative ecological maturity methods, but a complementary tool addressing a different point on the accessibility spectrum. Quantitative methods — PLFA, nematode faunal analysis, MBC — provide species-level or biochemical-level resolution, biomass quantification, and the statistical power needed for research applications. These are research-grade tools and their role is not diminished by the existence of an accessible qualitative alternative.

A qualitative instrument provides community succession characterization at functional group resolution — sufficient to place a compost on the succession continuum, distinguish early-successional from late-successional states, identify ecological imbalances, and monitor succession development during curing. The appropriate relationship between the two levels is convergent validation rather than competition. Where quantitative and qualitative assessments diverge, the divergence is itself diagnostically informative. The qualitative instrument makes a

complementary measurement from a different methodological position, and the two perspectives together provide more information than either alone.

5.5 Specific Utility in the Curing Stage Context

The qualitative community succession assessment has particular practical value during the curing stage that chemical metrics cannot replicate. CO₂ respiration declines as curing progresses regardless of whether ecological maturity is developing. C:N ratio changes little during curing in a well-managed system that has already achieved chemical maturity. qCO₂ provides a more sensitive signal but cannot distinguish a resource-depleted early-successional community from a metabolically efficient late-successional one without accompanying community identity information.

A qualitative assessment applied at intervals during curing can detect the arrival and establishment of late-successional organisms directly — the appearance of testate amoebae and ciliates in protozoan observations, the transition from flagellate-only to mixed protozoan communities, the establishment of cp-3 fungivores in nematode observations, the development of particle-binding fungal networks. Sequential assessments provide actionable information about whether inoculation has been effective, whether re-inoculation is needed, and when the target ecological maturity state has been achieved. This monitoring function is entirely absent from current composting practice.

5.6 Current State and the Standardization Gap

No published, peer-reviewed, standardized qualitative multi-component community succession instrument for compost quality assessment currently exists in the scientific literature. The ecological knowledge required to build such an instrument is present — the succession ecology of soil food web functional groups is well-documented, the microscopic observability of functional group community identity is established, and the theoretical framework for interpreting community composition in terms of succession state is provided by Ferris et al. (2001) and the broader r/K ecology literature. The translation of that knowledge into an explicit, reproducible, documented instrument with characterized performance and limitations has not yet been accomplished in published form.

Filling this gap requires the development and validation of a qualitative multi-component community succession instrument meeting the requirements described in Section 5.3. The framework developed in this paper, combining qualitative microscopy-based community succession assessment with C:N ratio and qCO₂, is designed as a step toward demonstrating the utility of biological community assessment in the compost quality context and toward the formalization that has not yet been achieved in published form.

6. The Multi-Metric Convergence Approach

6.1 Brinton's Triangulation Principle

The argument that no single metric adequately characterizes compost quality is not new. Writing in the context of stability and chemical maturity assessment, Brinton (2010) advanced a triangulation principle: just as a single reference point on a map yields a line rather than a location, a single compost quality metric yields a partial picture rather than a complete characterization. Two metrics from different measurement tiers constrain the picture more tightly than either alone; adding a third from a further distinct tier constrains it further still.

Brinton's triangulation principle was developed specifically for stability and chemical maturity characterization, and within that scope it is well-reasoned and practically sound. Its limitation is that all three legs of the triangle address the same two quality dimensions — ROM, C:N, and respiration rate each measure a different aspect of substrate transformation and metabolic activity, triangulating within the chemical space of composting rather than across all three quality dimensions. Ecological maturity is not merely underweighted in this triangle; it is entirely outside it. No combination of chemical and biochemical metrics can characterize community succession state, because community succession state is not a chemical or biochemical property — it is an ecological one.

Extending Brinton's triangle, the framework becomes a three-tier structure in which each tier addresses one quality dimension, and the convergence of all three provides the diagnostic confidence that the Brinton framework sought within the narrower chemical space.

6.2 The Three Measurement Tiers

Tier 1: Chemical transformation — C:N ratio. The C:N ratio addresses chemical maturity as a substrate transformation proxy. Within a multi-metric framework its limitations are substantially mitigated — it contributes specific substrate transformation information while other metrics supply what it cannot. In the context of finished commercial compost assessment where ROM is non-calculable due to the absence of starting condition data, C:N ratio is the primary available chemical maturity indicator and retains meaningful diagnostic value when interpreted alongside the other two tiers.

Tier 2: Metabolic efficiency — $q\text{CO}_2$. Specific respiration, calculated as CO_2 evolution per unit MBC, addresses the metabolic state of the current community. High $q\text{CO}_2$ indicates an enrichment-phase community operating inefficiently under high-resource conditions, consistent with early-successional metabolic dynamics. Declining $q\text{CO}_2$ as composting and curing progress indicates a transition toward metabolic efficiency consistent with community stabilization and succession advance. Low $q\text{CO}_2$ in a chemically mature compost is consistent with — though not confirmatory of — ecological maturity. The combination of Solvita CO_2 burst measurement and a field-accessible MBC extraction method provides a practical means of deriving $q\text{CO}_2$ without full laboratory infrastructure.

Tier 3: Community succession state — qualitative multi-component microscopy assessment. The third tier characterizes the ecological succession identity of the biological community directly, through multi-trophic observation of functional groups across the succession continuum. A well-designed qualitative instrument using explicit scored criteria — fungal hyphae vitality and network character, nematode guild composition, protozoan succession type, aggregate stability, microarthropod presence, fungal spore morphotype diversity, and organic matter humification state — provides community succession characterization sufficient to

distinguish early-successional from late-successional states, identify ecological imbalances, and detect the markers of ecological maturity development during curing.

This tier addresses what neither of the other two can approach. C:N ratio is blind to community identity — as a logical consequence of measuring independent dimensions, two composts with identical C:N may carry communities at opposite ends of the succession continuum. qCO_2 provides a metabolic efficiency signal consistent with succession advance but cannot confirm it. Only direct community observation can establish what organisms are present, what succession types they represent, and whether the trophic relationships characteristic of ecological maturity are developing. Convergence and divergence between the three tiers both carry diagnostic information. When all three tiers tell a consistent story — low C:N, low qCO_2 , high community succession score — the diagnosis of ecological maturity is high-confidence. When tiers diverge — low C:N and low qCO_2 but low community succession score — the divergence identifies a specific pattern: chemical maturity achieved, metabolic stabilization apparent, but ecological maturity absent. That pattern is invisible to any single-tier or two-tier assessment and is precisely the pattern most important for producers and end users to detect.

6.4 A Proposed Metric Set

The following metric set has been developed for a proposed study examining ecological maturity across a diverse range of commercially produced finished composts representing varied feedstock compositions and production contexts.

C:N ratio is the Tier 1 metric, measured through standard laboratory analysis of total carbon and total nitrogen from dried, ground compost samples. Results are interpreted in the context of the expected endpoint range for the feedstock types represented in the sample set, with the explicit caveat that C:N interpretation is subject to the N-loss and starting-material limitations documented in Section 3.2.

qCO_2 is the Tier 2 metric, derived from CO_2 evolution measured using the Solvita CO_2 burst test and MBC measured using a field-accessible extraction method providing both MBC in μg C per gram of compost and the F:B ratio as a supplementary community composition indicator. qCO_2 is calculated as the ratio of CO_2 evolution to MBC. F:B ratio is carried as an additional Tier 2 output — it does not replace Tier 3 community succession assessment but provides a complementary biochemical community composition signal.

Qualitative multi-component microscopy-based community succession assessment is the Tier 3 metric. Each sample is assessed using a multi-component protocol covering fungal hyphae, nematodes, protozoa (active and cyst forms), organic matter humification state, aggregate character, microarthropods, and fungal spore morphotype diversity — scored on an explicit ordinal scale with criteria referenced to observable microscopic evidence. Derived indices calculated from component scores characterize the food web's enrichment state, structural complexity, and decomposition channel character. The qualitative assessment is conducted on fresh samples processed within thirty minutes of collection to preserve protozoan activity.

6.5 Expected Convergence and Divergence Patterns

The diagnostic power of the three-tier framework lies in its capacity to identify not only the target state but the specific patterns of divergence that correspond to identifiable compost conditions. Four primary patterns are expected to be informative in applying this framework.

Pattern 1: High C:N + high qCO_2 + low community succession score. Active decomposition is ongoing. The compost is chemically immature, metabolically active, and ecologically early-

successional — consistent with an active or early curing stage material. Recommended action: continued composting and curing before assessment for end use.

Pattern 2: Low C:N + high qCO_2 + low community succession score. Chemical transformation is advanced but metabolic activity remains high relative to biomass, and the community is ecologically early-successional. This pattern may indicate a compost that has achieved chemical maturity through nitrogen-rich feedstock dominance rather than through complete substrate transformation, or a compost in active re-wetting response. Community succession assessment here is particularly valuable because it distinguishes a chemically mature but ecologically shallow product from one genuinely progressing toward ecological maturity.

Pattern 3: Low C:N + low qCO_2 + low community succession score. This is the pattern of greatest practical significance and the one most invisible to current quality frameworks. Chemical maturity is achieved and metabolic stabilization is apparent, but the community is ecologically shallow — dominated by early-successional organisms, lacking the late-successional functional groups that characterize ecological maturity. This pattern identifies a compost that would pass all current regulatory and commercial quality standards while being incapable of performing the biological inoculation function. Its most likely production interpretation is a well-managed process that achieved chemical and physical maturity without deliberate inoculation during curing. Without Tier 3 assessment this pattern is undetectable. With it, the diagnosis is clear and the intervention is specific: inoculation with compost extract from an ecologically mature source, followed by reassessment.

Pattern 4: Low C:N + low qCO_2 + high community succession score. Chemical and ecological maturity are both achieved. The community is metabolically efficient and ecologically complex — the target state. The convergence of all three tiers on this pattern provides high-confidence characterization of a genuinely high-quality product across all three quality dimensions.

Intermediate and discordant patterns are expected to occur in a heterogeneous sample set and are themselves diagnostically informative, indicating transitional states, component-specific anomalies, or the specific ecological imbalances — stress sporulation, flagellate-only protozoan communities, aggregate-hyphal divergence — that the cross-validation logic of the qualitative instrument is designed to detect and flag.

6.6 Practical Interpretation for Producers

Pattern 3 is the pattern that most directly challenges current production assumptions. A producer receiving a quality report showing chemical maturity confirmed by C:N and Solvita assessment has no current means of knowing whether Pattern 3 or Pattern 4 describes the product. If the production protocol did not include deliberate inoculation during curing with ecologically mature extract, Pattern 3 is a plausible outcome regardless of how well the rest of the process was managed.

Adding ecological maturity assessment to the quality protocol, and deliberate curing-stage inoculation to the production protocol, extends the production target from stability and chemical maturity to all three dimensions. For producers whose market positioning emphasizes biological soil health, regenerative agriculture, or food web diversity, the distinction between Pattern 3 and Pattern 4 is the difference between a product that meets the marketing claim in chemical terms and one that meets it in biological terms. The three-tier convergence framework provides, for the first time, a structured basis for making that distinction assessable rather than assumed.

7. Limitations and Caveats

The framework developed in this paper is grounded in established ecological theory and supported by a substantial body of peer-reviewed research. Its application to compost quality assessment is, however, at an early stage of formal development. The limitations described here are not qualifications of the framework's conceptual validity but honest statements of what the current evidence base does and does not support.

7.1 Ecological Maturity Has Not Yet Been Validated Against Agronomic Outcomes

The agronomic argument in Section 4 is ecologically coherent — the mechanisms connecting community succession state to nutrient cycling efficiency, predator-prey regulation, and biological community transfer are established in the soil ecology literature. The specific claim that ecologically mature compost produces measurably superior agronomic outcomes relative to chemically equivalent but ecologically shallow compost has not yet been tested in controlled field trials designed to isolate the ecological maturity variable.

The research agenda described in Section 8.5 positions characterization of ecological maturity across commercially produced composts as a necessary first step before agronomic validation trials can be designed. Characterizing what range of ecological maturity states exists in commercially available products, and identifying the production and metric patterns associated with different states, is the prerequisite work that must precede field application trials.

Users of this framework should apply it with appropriately calibrated expectations: as a structured basis for characterizing a quality dimension that current frameworks ignore, and as a hypothesis-generating tool for identifying which composts are likely candidates for biological inoculation function — not as a validated predictor of specific agronomic outcomes.

7.2 The Ecological Theory Transfer: Succession Frameworks in the Compost Context

The *r/K* succession framework and the Ferris et al. (2001) nematode faunal analysis indices were developed and validated primarily in relatively undisturbed natural soil systems — agricultural soils under long-term management, grasslands, and forest systems. Compost is a fundamentally different substrate: intensively managed, thermally reset, substrate-rich, and with a succession history far shorter and more interrupted than any natural soil system. The question of whether succession theory developed for natural systems translates directly to compost contexts is legitimate and deserves explicit acknowledgment.

Evidence from the composting literature is consistent with *r/K* succession dynamics operating in compost. The thermal phase selects strongly for early-successional organisms and suppresses late-successional ones (Ryckeboer et al., 2003). Community composition shifts during curing and responds significantly to management conditions including feedstock recipe and composting method (Neher et al., 2013). Nematode community succession follows the *cp*-scale trajectory within compost-specific constraints, with the upper trophic ceiling established by Steel et al. (2010, 2018) representing an ecologically explicable constraint of the composting environment rather than a failure of the framework. These observations suggest that the underlying *r/K* logic applies to the compost context — enrichment conditions favor early-successional organisms, stable resource-limited conditions favor more complex communities — even if the specific community compositions and succession timescales differ from soil systems.

The qualitative approach advocated in this paper is partially protected from this limitation by its reliance on observable functional group characters — the presence of testate amoebae, ciliates,

cp-3 fungivores, particle-binding hyphal networks — rather than on quantitative thresholds calibrated for soil systems. These observable characters reflect succession state in the compost context directly, without requiring that compost succession follow the same quantitative trajectory as soil succession. Nevertheless, the development of compost-specific calibration standards for qualitative community succession assessment — informed by parallel quantitative measurements and validated against agronomic outcomes — remains an important research need identified in the proposed research agenda in Section 8.5.

7.3 The Qualitative Community Succession Assessment Introduces Observer Variability

Qualitative scoring of biological communities from microscopic preparations is inherently subject to inter-observer variability. The distance between a Low and Medium score for protozoan succession type, nematode guild diversity, or fungal hyphal network character requires both ecological knowledge and microscopic experience that cannot be fully transferred through written criteria alone. Published inter-observer reproducibility data for qualitative multi-component community succession assessment in compost contexts do not yet exist.

The practical implication is that results should be interpreted with awareness of the observer's training level and calibration history. For research applications requiring high reproducibility, quantitative methods — PLFA, nematode faunal analysis — remain the appropriate standard. For screening, monitoring, and producer quality management where the primary value is relative characterization across samples rather than absolute precision, qualitative assessment with appropriate training provides useful and actionable information.

7.4 qCO₂ Interpretation Requires Careful Attention to Measurement Conditions

The specific respiration rate is sensitive to the conditions under which both CO₂ evolution and MBC measurements are taken. CO₂ evolution rate is temperature-dependent. Moisture content affects both CO₂ evolution and MBC extraction efficiency. The Solvita CO₂ burst test standardizes moisture conditions through a rewetting step, which partially addresses this but introduces the variable of community response to rewetting — a drought-stressed dormant community may show a rewetting response artifact inflating the apparent CO₂ evolution rate. MBC measurement through field-accessible extraction methods is subject to method-specific extraction efficiencies that may differ between compost types of different physical and chemical character. Comparative qCO₂ values across samples are meaningful only where measurement conditions are consistent across the sample set.

7.5 Commercial Compost Heterogeneity Limits Single-Sample Assessment Reliability

Commercially produced compost varies substantially within a batch — across the cross-section of a windrow, between surface and core, and across the spatial extent of a large composting pad. A single sample drawn from a specific location may not represent the batch as a whole. A composite sample drawn from multiple locations provides more reliable characterization of batch-level quality than a grab sample from a single location. Batch-level characterization from single composite samples carries uncertainty that replicated sampling would reduce, and this limitation applies to any assessment protocol using the framework described here.

7.6 Inoculation Efficacy Depends on the Ecological Maturity of the Source Material

As noted in Section 4.7, the inoculation function depends entirely on the ecological maturity of the extract source material: an extract prepared from a chemically mature but ecologically shallow source will introduce an ecologically shallow community regardless of biological loading or timing. This creates a quality dependency chain: ecological maturity in a finished compost depends on effective inoculation during curing; effective inoculation depends on the ecological maturity of the extract source material; and confirming the ecological maturity of the extract source requires the same assessment framework being used to evaluate the finished product.

This dependency is not a logical circularity — ecologically mature extract source material, whether drawn from mature natural soils or from composting systems that have achieved ecological maturity through prior successful inoculation cycles, does exist — but it does mean that identifying and characterizing an ecologically mature extract source is a prerequisite for the production protocol described in Section 4.6. Producers should begin by assessing the ecological maturity of candidate extract sources and track the ecological maturity of the finished compost as the primary confirmation that inoculation was effective.

7.7 The Framework Does Not Address All Determinants of Compost Agronomic Performance

The three-dimension framework characterizes compost quality — what the material is and what it is capable of delivering — rather than predicting agronomic outcome, which depends on the interaction between compost quality and receiving system properties. Receiving soil texture, pH, existing biological community state, nutrient status, application method, incorporation depth, timing relative to planting, and environmental conditions at application all affect how compost biological communities establish in receiving soils. A compost assessed as ecologically mature will not produce identical agronomic responses across all receiving soils and application contexts. Matching compost ecological maturity to receiving soil biological state remains a management judgment that the framework informs but does not replace.

7.8 Seasonal and Environmental Effects on Community Assessment

Active biological community scores are sensitive to environmental conditions at the time of assessment. Cold temperatures suppress protozoan activity and reduce hyphal vitality without reflecting ecological decline. Prolonged drought induces protozoan encystment and nematode dauer larva formation — stress responses that resolve rapidly upon rewetting but reduce active community scores if assessment is conducted during the stress period. For compost assessment these sensitivities are most relevant during storage and transport. Standardized protocols should specify moisture conditioning requirements and assessment timing relative to rewetting. Where environmental conditions are known to be anomalous — prolonged drought, temperatures below 50°F, recent large moisture fluctuation — assessment results should carry a contextual notation and be interpreted with appropriate caution.

8. Conclusion

8.1 The Three-Dimension Framework

The function that distinguishes compost as a biological inoculum from compost as a processed organic amendment — the transfer of a living community capable of advancing the soil food web toward structurally complex, nutrient-cycling-efficient states — is determined by ecological maturity alone. Stability confirms safe incorporation. Chemical maturity confirms product safety. Neither confirms that the biological community transfer function will be fulfilled. Together, the three dimensions constitute a complete quality picture; separately, any two leave the third systematically uncharacterized.

Current quality frameworks address stability and chemical maturity with reasonable adequacy. The absence of ecological maturity is not a consequence of scientific uncertainty — the quantitative parameters that characterize it are established and validated. It is a consequence of the waste management origin of compost quality standards, which were designed to verify adequate processing rather than to characterize the biological community being transferred. What were not gaps within a waste management framework become structural deficiencies when the intended use is biological community transfer to agricultural soil.

8.2 The Measurement Gap

Filling the ecological maturity column in the metric landscape requires either accessing quantitative methods that are currently impractical at routine scale, or developing a qualitative instrument that captures the same succession-state information with accessible equipment and appropriate training. The biologically complete compost methodology developed by Ingham and the biological indicators research of Neher et al. (2017), with Fang's applied practitioner contribution (Fang, in McSweeney & Manela, 2019), both demonstrate that the ecological maturity dimension is identifiable and that its scientific and applied significance is well-established within the composting research community. What neither has yet produced in published form is a standardized, reproducible instrument meeting the requirements for a formal quality assessment tool — explicit scored criteria, ecological rationale, derived indices, cross-validation logic, inter-observer calibration protocols, and documented performance characteristics.

The standardization gap is the practical expression of the access gap. The framework developed here — combining qualitative multi-component community succession assessment with C:N ratio and qCO_2 — is designed as a step toward both demonstrating the utility of biological community assessment in the compost quality context and providing the formalization that has not yet been achieved in published form.

8.3 The Production Gap

Even if the measurement gap were closed tomorrow, a second gap would remain: producers operating at full best practice by current standards may consistently deliver ecologically shallow compost without knowing it. The thermal and active decomposition phases are ecologically resetting by design, and the curing stage — while creating substrate conditions receptive to late-successional organisms — does not supply those organisms in most production contexts. Based on the ecological reset dynamics of the composting process, deliberate inoculation during curing with ecologically mature extract is hypothesized to be necessary to achieve meaningful trophic depth in most managed composting contexts — a hypothesis the proposed research agenda is designed to begin testing empirically.

This production step is not recognized in any current composting standard, is not part of the quality vocabulary within which producers currently operate, and is not detectable as absent by any metric in the current assessment framework. Recognizing the production gap adds a third production target — ecological maturity — alongside stability and chemical maturity, and identifies the specific intervention — deliberate curing-stage inoculation with ecologically mature extract — through which that target is pursued.

8.4 Multi-Metric Convergence as the Practical Path Forward

The three-tier convergence approach — C:N ratio as the chemical transformation indicator, qCO_2 as the metabolic efficiency indicator, and qualitative multi-component microscopy as the community succession indicator — provides a practical basis for complete compost quality characterization across all three dimensions. Their convergence provides high-confidence characterization of the target state. Their divergence identifies specific diagnostic patterns — most importantly Pattern 3, low C:N and low qCO_2 alongside low community succession score — that are invisible to any single-tier or two-tier assessment and that identify the most practically significant failure mode in current practice: chemical maturity achieved without ecological maturity, in a product that would pass all current quality standards.

The framework does not require the replacement of existing quality metrics. C:N ratio, Solvita maturity assessment, germination index, and the physical parameters of current practice remain appropriate and valuable for the dimensions they address. The three-tier approach adds to the existing framework rather than displacing it — introducing ecological maturity assessment as a third layer of quality characterization that sits alongside and complements what is already in place.

8.5 A Proposed Research Agenda

The framework developed in this paper identifies a clear path for empirical validation. A study applying the three-tier convergence approach to a set of commercially produced finished composts representing diverse feedstock compositions and production contexts would serve three purposes: establishing what range of ecological maturity outcomes exists across products that currently meet quality standards; identifying which production approaches and feedstock combinations are associated with higher and lower ecological maturity; and demonstrating the practical utility of qualitative multi-component community succession assessment as a third-tier metric alongside C:N ratio and qCO_2 .

The agronomic validation question — whether and to what degree ecological maturity differences in compost translate into measurable differences in receiving soil biological state and crop response — is the necessary subsequent step. Field application trials comparing ecologically mature and ecologically shallow composts of equivalent chemical maturity, applied to soils across a range of biological baseline states, would provide the experimental evidence needed to move the ecological maturity dimension from a theoretically grounded framework to a validated agronomic predictor.

The conceptual case for ecological maturity as a quality dimension is established. The production mechanism by which it is expected to be achieved is identified. The assessment approach by which it is characterized is specified. What remains is the empirical work — first characterization, then agronomic validation — that will determine whether ecological maturity becomes a routine dimension of compost quality practice or remains a framework awaiting its evidence base.

8.6 A Note for Composting Producers

The argument of this paper has direct implications for producers whose products are applied with biological soil health objectives. The three-dimension framework reframes what a high-quality compost is: not merely a stable, chemically mature organic amendment, but a biologically rich material carrying a community capable of advancing soil food web succession in the systems to which it is applied.

Achieving this requires knowing what ecological maturity is, having the tools to assess whether a product has achieved it, and incorporating the production steps — deliberate curing-stage inoculation with ecologically mature extract from a characterized source — that the current framework neither requires nor recognizes. None of these steps are beyond the reach of producers already operating at best practice in chemical and physical quality management. They require an extension of the quality framework within which production decisions are made, not a replacement of what already works. The framework developed here is a step toward that integration — a structured basis on which producers, certifiers, and end users can begin to assess, communicate, and achieve the full quality potential of finished compost.

References

- Anderson, T.H., & Domsch, K.H. (1990). Application of eco-physiological quotients (qCO₂ and qD) on microbial biomasses from soils of different cropping histories. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 22(2), 251–255.
- Brinton, W.F. (2010). Characterizing compost completeness. *BioCycle*, 51(4), 31–34.
- Clarholm, M. (1985). Interactions of bacteria, protozoa and plants leading to mineralization of soil nitrogen. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 17(2), 181–187.
- Fang, L. (2019). Pursuing biological indicators. In J. McSweeney & M. Manela, *Community-scale composting systems: A comprehensive practical guide for closing the food system loop and solving our waste crisis* (p. 377). Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Ferris, H., Bongers, T., & de Goede, R.G.M. (2001). A framework for soil food web diagnostics: Extension of the nematode faunal analysis concept. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 18(1), 13–29.
- Foissner, W. (1999). Soil protozoa as bioindicators: Pros and cons, methods, diversity, representative examples. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 74(1–3), 95–112.
- Haug, R.T. (1993). *The practical handbook of compost engineering*. Lewis Publishers.
- Hoitink, H.A.J., & Boehm, M.J. (1999). Biocontrol within the context of soil microbial communities: A substrate-dependent phenomenon. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 37, 427–446.
- Ingham, E.R., Trofymow, J.A., Ingham, R.E., & Coleman, D.C. (1986a). Interactions of bacteria, fungi, and their nematode grazers: Effects on nutrient cycling and plant growth. *Ecological Monographs*, 56(2), 119–140.
- Ingham, E.R., Trofymow, J.A., Ames, R.N., Hunt, H.W., Morley, C.R., Moore, J.C., & Coleman, D.C. (1986b). Trophic interactions and nitrogen cycling in a semiarid grassland soil. I. Seasonal dynamics of the soil foodweb. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 23(2), 597–614.
- Ingham, E.R. (1999). *The soil biology primer*. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Soil Quality Institute.
- Medina D.A (2026). *Biological Transfer Capacity: A practical diagnostic framework for assessing soil nutrient delivery in agricultural systems*. Unpublished manuscript.
- McSweeney, J., & Manela, M. (2019). *Community-scale composting systems: A comprehensive practical guide for closing the food system loop and solving our waste crisis*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- Neher, D.A., Weicht, T.R., Bates, S.T., Leff, J.W., & Fierer, N. (2013). Changes in bacterial and fungal communities across compost recipes, preparation methods, and composting times. *PLoS ONE*, 8(11), e79512.
- Neher, D.A., Fang, L., & Weicht, T.R. (2017). Ecoenzymes as indicators of compost to suppress *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Compost Science & Utilization*, 25(4), 251–261.
- Oehl, F., Sieverding, E., Ineichen, K., Mäder, P., Boller, T., & Wiemken, A. (2003). Impact of land use intensity on the species diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agroecosystems of Central Europe. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69(5), 2816–2824.

Ozores-Hampton, M., Biala, J., Evanylo, G., Faucette, B., Cooperband, L., Roe, N., & Sullivan, D. (2022). Compost use. In R. Rynk, G. Black, J. Gilbert, J. Biala, J. Bonhotal, M. Schwarz, & L. Cooperband (Eds.), *The composting handbook: A how-to and why manual for farm, municipal, institutional and commercial composters* (pp. 777–846). Academic Press.

Ryckeboer, J., Mergaert, J., Coosemans, J., Deprins, K., & Swings, J. (2003). Microbiological aspects of biowaste during composting in a monitored compost bin. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 94(1), 127–137.

Rynk, R., Black, G., Gilbert, J., Biala, J., Bonhotal, J., Schwarz, M., & Cooperband, L. (Eds.). (2022). *The composting handbook: A how-to and why manual for farm, municipal, institutional and commercial composters*. Academic Press.

Scheuerell, S., & Mahaffee, W. (2002). Compost tea: Principles and prospects for plant disease control. *Compost Science & Utilization*, 10(4), 313–338.

Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., & Denef, K. (2004). A history of research on the link between (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 79(1), 7–31.

Steel, H., de la Peña, E., Fonderie, P., Willekens, K., Borgonie, G., & Bert, W. (2010). Nematode succession during composting and the potential of the nematode community as an indicator of compost maturity. *Pedobiologia*, 53(3), 181–190.

Steel, H., Moens, T., Vandecasteele, B., Hendrickx, F., De Neve, S., Neher, D.A., & Bert, W. (2018). Factors influencing the nematode community during composting and nematode-based criteria for compost maturity. *Ecological Indicators*, 85, 409–421.

Stehouwer, R., Cooperband, L., Rynk, R., Biala, J., Bonhotal, J., Antler, S., Lewandowski, T., & Nichols, H. (2022). Compost characteristics and quality. In R. Rynk, G. Black, J. Gilbert, J. Biala, J. Bonhotal, M. Schwarz, & L. Cooperband (Eds.), *The composting handbook: A how-to and why manual for farm, municipal, institutional and commercial composters* (pp. 627–683). Academic Press.

Tisdall, J.M., & Oades, J.M. (1982). Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, 33(2), 141–163.

Wardle, D.A., Yeates, G.W., Watson, R.N., & Nicholson, K.S. (1995). The detritus food-web and the diversity of soil fauna as indicators of disturbance regimes in agroecosystems. *Plant and Soil*, 170(1), 35–43.

Zucconi, F., Pera, A., Forte, M., & de Bertoldi, M. (1981). Evaluating toxicity of immature compost. *BioCycle*, 22(2), 54–57.

Appendix A: Metric × Quality Dimension Summary Table

Expanded reference version of the metric coverage summary presented in Section 3.9. Coverage ratings: Direct = metric was designed for this dimension and characterizes it reliably; Partial = relevant information provided but with significant limitations; Proxy = correlates with this dimension but measures something else; None = no information about this dimension.

Metric	Stability	Chemical Maturity	Ecological Maturity	Accessibility
Physical parameters	Partial	None	None	Routine
C:N ratio	None	Proxy	None	Routine
ROM	Direct	Proxy	None	Requires starting data
Germination index	None	Direct	None	Routine
CO ₂ / O ₂ respiration rate	Direct	None	None	Routine
NH ₃ volatilization (Solvita)	None	Direct	None	Routine
Solvita CMI (CO ₂ + NH ₃)	Direct	Direct	None	Routine
qCO ₂ (specific respiration)	Partial	None	Partial	Accessible with field MBC
MBC	Partial	None	None	Accessible with field method
F:B ratio	None	None	Partial	Accessible with field method
PLFA profiling	None	None	Partial	Specialist laboratory
Nematode faunal analysis	None	None	Direct	Specialist training required
Ingham microscopy methodology	None	None	Direct	Practitioner training; not standardized
Qualitative multi-component succession assessment	None	None	Direct	Compound microscope + training; standardization in development